UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,072	07/09/2004	Ralf Fink	254580US0PCT	3326
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SELLMAN, CACHET I	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/501,072	FINK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CACHET I. SELLMAN	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply	, 10 0ET TO EVEIDE - MONTH	0) 0D THIRTY (00) BANG					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ju</u>	ne 2008.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11,13-15 and 17-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>7,8,11,17-20,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>9-10,21-23 and 26-28</u> is/are objected	7) Claim(s) <u>9-10,21-23 and 26-28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •					

Application/Control Number: 10/501,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of the amendment filed by the applicant on 6/19/2008, in which claim 7, 11, 13, 17, and 18 were amended and 1-6 and 12 were cancelled. Claims 7-11, 13-15 and 17-28 are currently pending in U.S. Application Serial No. 10/501,072.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 18 has the statement "wherein said substrate is metal foil and/or plastic film or a composite of metal foil and plastic film," which is confusing because if the substrate is a metal foil and plastic film it would therefore be a composite of metal foil and plastic film. The Examiner would recommend stating "wherein said substrate is metal foil, plastic film or a composite of metal foil and plastic film."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/501,072 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobashi et al. (JP 60255873 A).

Dobashi teaches a surface protecting composition which adheres firmly to the surface of metal plates, plastic sheets or glass plates and protects the substrates during further work on the surface (see advantage section of translation). The composition comprise a radiation curable liquid composition and a pressure sensitive adhesive (see abstract). The radiation curable composition comprises a polymerizable compound comprising two or more copolymerizable, ethylenically unsaturated groups (see basic abstract of translation) as required by claim 7.

The composition comprises 100 wt percent of the polymerizable compound as required by claim 8. The mixture comprises 0.1-9 weight parts of a pressure sensitive adhesive (see abstract) as required by claim 11. The glass transition temperature of EVA is about -17C as required by claims 20 and 25.

The radiation composition comprises an acrylic adhesive such as acrylate copolymer as required by claims 19 and 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1792

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobashi et al. (JP 60255873 A).

Dobashi et al. discloses a mixture having a radiation curable portion and a pressure sensitive portion and is used as a surface protecting composition for metal plates, plastic sheets or glass plates as stated above.

Dobashi et al. does not state the actual applying of the mixture. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 1792

invention that if the mixture is sued as a surface film and can be used on such surfaces that it would have been applied to a surface therefore creating a coated.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9-10, 13-15,21-23, and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

After further search and review of the previously presented prior art, the Examiner has to withdraw the allowability of the claims as presented in the previous office action. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvience.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CACHET I. SELLMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,072 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cachet I Sellman Examiner Art Unit 1792

/C. I. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/William Phillip Fletcher III/ for Timothy H. Meeks, SPE of Art Unit 1792/1700